

Flower bud initiation in southern highbush blueberry cv. O'Neal occurs twice per year in temperate to warm-temperate conditions

María Pescie^{1*}, Marcelo Lovisololo¹, Alberto De Magistris¹, Bernadine Strik² and César López¹

¹Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias – Universidad Nacional de Lomas de Zamora, Ruta 4, Km. 2. (1836). Llavallol – Pvcia. de Buenos Aires, Argentina. ²Department of Horticulture, Oregon State University, 4017 ALS, Corvallis, OR 97331, USA. *E-mail: pescie@agrarias.unlz.edu.ar

Abstract

In Argentina, southern highbush blueberry (*Vaccinium* sp.) exhibits two periods of vegetative growth in the same year, the first one in spring (spring growth, SpG), arising from vegetative buds on one-year-old wood, and the second in summer, from vegetative buds formed on spring growth, just after harvest (summer growth, SmG). Histological studies confirmed that flower bud initiation (FBI) occurred at the end of December on SpG and at the end of March on SmG. On SmG, FBI occurred under an 8 h photoperiod, and shortening daylength. However, on SpG, FBI was observed under increasing daylength (up to 15 h) and an average temperature of 22.5 °C. Basal florets in apical floral buds were always in a more advanced reproductive stage on SpG than on SmG during the season. The two peaks in volume of fruit harvested were likely a result of differences in the ontogeny of buds on SpG and SmG shoots in the previous year.

Key words: *Vaccinium* sp., southern highbush blueberry, flower bud initiation, flower bud differentiation, day length, temperature

Introduction

In Argentina, commercial blueberry production began in the 1990s on a small scale, but increased rapidly to over 4,200 ha in 2009 (CAPAB, 2009). Southern highbush blueberry (*Vaccinium* sp.) is the most important type grown in this country in warm-temperate or temperate regions.

Blueberry plants in Argentina usually begin flowering from the end of June to the beginning of August (average daily temperature of 10.4 °C) with bloom lasting for 4 to 6 weeks, depending on cultivar, growing region, and climatic conditions (mainly temperature). Fruit harvest occurs from October to December (spring), with peak production in October-November. After fruit harvest, at the beginning of summer, plants remain vegetative until fall, when they enter dormancy (Fig. 1).

Most southern highbush blueberry plants in this region, exhibit two periods of vegetative growth. The first period of vegetative growth occurs in spring (spring growth = SpG). Vegetative bud break takes place in September (monthly average temperature: 13.8 °C), several weeks after flowering; these shoots originate from vegetative buds on one-year-old wood. The second period of growth occurs in summer (SmG), starting after fruit harvest, usually in January (average temperature of 23.9 °C); these shoots develop from buds on spring growth (Bañados *et al.*, 2007; Pescie and Lovisololo, 2005; Fig.1). Although this second period of growth (SmG) occurs naturally, it can be increased through tipping of shoots in spring (Bañados *et al.*, 2009; Pescie and Lopez, 2007).

The period of flower bud initiation (FBI) is well documented

in northern highbush (*Vaccinium corymbosum* L.) and lowbush (*V. angustifolium* Ait.) blueberry, occurring in general, the year preceding flowering and fruiting (Aalders *et al.*, 1964; Bañados and Strik, 2006; Bell and Burchill, 1952; Gough *et al.*, 1978). FBI does not begin until cessation of shoot growth occurs (Aalders and Hall, 1964; Bañados and Strik, 2006).

Flower bud initiation appears to be promoted by exposure to short days (SD). Under controlled conditions, 8 weeks of 8-, 10-, or 12-h photoperiod increased FBI compared to 14- or 16-h photoperiods in northern highbush blueberry (Hall *et al.*, 1963). Six weeks of 8-h photoperiod promoted FBI in rabbiteye (*V. virgatum* Aiton; syn. *V. ashei* Reade) blueberry (Darnell, 1991). In southern highbush blueberry, Spann *et al.* (2003) found that FBI occurred in plants exposed to 8-h photoperiods, but not in plants exposed to 16-h photoperiods. Length of exposure to SD had no impact on the number of flower buds in southern highbush, but did affect flower bud quality, depending on temperature (Spann *et al.*, 2004). Flower bud number was positively correlated with length of exposure to SD in northern highbush (Bañados and Strik, 2006). However, flower bud differentiation (FBD) appeared incomplete in plants exposed to only 4 weeks of SD and bloom was delayed compared to plants exposed to 8 weeks of SD.

There is limited information on the interaction between photoperiod and temperature on FBI in blueberry. In southern blueberry, FBI was reduced at 28 °C compared with 21 °C under SD (Spann *et al.*, 2004). Also, flowers that developed at the higher temperature remained in a dormant-like state, showing no further development, or abscised. They hypothesized that higher temperatures not only inhibited FBI in southern highbush, but impaired FBD as well, resulting in failure to open. In lowbush

blueberry, flower buds were larger when plants were exposed to warmer temperatures during FBI (21 to 26°C rather than 10 to 16°C; Hall and Ludwig, 1961; Hall *et al.*, 1970). In early, mid-season, and late-season northern highbush blueberry cultivars, FBI only occurred under SD (at constant temp 22 °C) with the number of flower buds correlated to the length of exposure. Plants grown under SD for 4 or more weeks ceased growth and entered endo-dormancy, whereas those grown under LD conditions had continuous growth and did not initiate flower buds or go dormant (Bañados and Strik, 2006).

Plant and fruit quality are also affected by photoperiod and temperature in lowbush and highbush blueberry. Plants grown under long days (16-h photoperiod) and 21 °C had more rapid vegetative growth and produced longer shoots than those grown at 10 °C and short days (8-h photoperiod; Hall and Ludwig, 1961; Hall *et al.*, 1963). Similar results were found by Spann *et al.* (2003) in ‘Misty’ and ‘Sharpblue’. In northern highbush blueberry, fruit set, fruit size, and rate of fruit development were positively influenced by warm temperature (16 to 27 °C) under greenhouse conditions (Knight and Scott, 1964). Although, Hall and Aalders (1968) found a better rate of fruit development at 21°C day temperature, there was no relationship between temperature and fruit set or berry weight.

Southern highbush blueberry cultivars are hybrids of *V. corymbosum* L. and *V. darrowii* Camp with minor contributions from *V. angustifolium* Aiton, *V. virgatum* Aiton (syn. *V. ashei* Reade), and *V. tenellum* Aiton (Lang, 1993; Spann *et al.*, 2003). Little is known about the conditions under which FBI and differentiation occur in southern highbush blueberry grown under field conditions in temperate to warm temperate areas such as the main production regions of Argentina, where two periods of vegetative growth occur.

The objectives of this study were to characterize flower bud development of southern highbush blueberry grown under field conditions in a warm temperate climate, in particular: 1) ascertain the period of flower bud initiation in spring and summer growth; and 2) to determine if there is a different degree of development of flower buds on spring and summer growth, as they develop under different photoperiod and temperature conditions.

Materials and methods

The experiment was carried out in a commercial blueberry farm in Northeastern Buenos Aires Province (34° lat S; 59° long W), on 10-year-old plants of ‘O’Neal’. Plants grown on raised soil beds (pH about 5.0) were fertigated from bud break through the growing season, and otherwise maintained according to standard commercial practice.

One hundred spring shoots (SpG) and summer shoots (SmG) were randomly selected and tagged at the beginning of September (soon after bud break) and in mid-January, respectively, on 20 plants (5 SpG and 5 SmG shoots per plant). Shoots were tagged on all sides of the bush (N, S, E, and W) to minimize variance due to possible differences in light exposure (Hall, 1958; Yáñez *et al.*, 2009). The length of the tagged shoots was measured every two weeks from 25 Oct. 2005 in SpG and from 27 Feb. 2006 in SmG to determine time of shoot growth cessation. Five to six-node shoot tips were excised from 10 tagged shoots collected

per day, at 10-12 d intervals (totalizing approximately 100 tips). On SpG, shoot tips were collected from October until a clear terminal bud was visible and there was microscopic evidence of floral differentiation. On SmG, shoot tips were collected from March through April and analyzed for evidence of floral bud differentiation in the terminal bud under a microscope. After leaf senescence and presumed dormancy, 10 shoots each from the remaining SpG and SmG tagged shoots were collected at 12-15 d intervals from 15 May to bud break in July, 2006.

FBI (presence or absence) and degree of flower bud differentiation (on the basal floret in the inflorescence) was determined for the terminal bud, of each sampled shoot, according to Huang *et al.* (1997), at each sampling date, totalizing 100 analyzed shoots. Terminal buds excised from the shoots, were fixed in formalin-acetic-alcohol, and treated with 25-50% hydrofluoric acid for 24-48 h to soften the tissue. The buds were then embedded in paraffin wax and sections made using standard techniques. The sections were stained in a safranin-fast green combination (D’Ambrogio, 1986), observed under a light microscope, and pictures taken with a digital camera. Buds were considered to have started FBI when the apical dome was flattening (Huang *et al.*, 1997).

Daily, low, high, and average air temperature data were recorded from November 2005 to July 2006 (INTA San Pedro Meteorological Services).

Results and discussion

Vegetative bud break occurred around 18 September, 2005 on one-year-old shoots. However, floral bud break occurred on 8 August, 40 days before vegetative bud break, when there were no leaves present. This type of growth pattern is typical in this region (Fig. 1). It has been reported that vegetative buds require more chilling hours than reproductive buds in blueberry (Darnell and Davies, 1990; Maust *et al.*, 1999).

The SpG shoots grew from bud break (~18 September) until about mid-December and stayed in an apparent vegetative state (no visible floral buds). However, there was microscopic evidence that terminal buds on SpG shoots showed flattening of the apical dome with floral organs beginning to develop in late spring to early summer (mid-December, Table 1). By 9 January 2006, 100% of the terminal buds were in some stage of flower bud differentiation.

Summer shoots (SmG) developed from axillary buds on SpG later in the season after fruit harvest was complete. In general, two or three SmG shoots arose from each of the more vigorous SpG shoots (Fig. 2). The SmG shoots started growing at the beginning of January and stopped growing by the end of March.

Table 1. Temperature, photoperiod, and percentage of apical buds confirmed as flower buds (FBI) on spring (SpG) and summer (SmG) shoots, n=10 per sample date, 2005-2006

Parameter	2005		2006			
	17 Dec.	27 Dec.	9 Jan.	2 Apr.	9 Apr.	15 Apr.
Temperature (°C)	25.9	26.6	24.7	21.8	11.8	11
Photoperiod (d)	15.4	15.3	15.1	12.5	12.1	11.6
FBI, SpG (%)	30	60	100			
FBI, SmG (%)				40	80	100




Fig. 1. Approximate time of reproductive and vegetative development of cv. O'Neal plants in Buenos Aires, Argentina (southern hemisphere)

Table 2. Stages of flower bud differentiation in spring (SpG) and summer growth (SmG) from May to flowering.

Stage	Stage of flower bud differentiation				
	13 May	27 May	9 June	29 June	14 July
Spring growth (SpG)	MMc before meiosis	MMc in meiosis stage	Tetrahedrals Tetrads / Free microspores	Mature pollen grains	Flowering
Summer growth (SmG)	Primordia of all floral parts	Sporogenous tissue	MMc before meiotic division	Tetrahedral tetrads	Free microspores cells / mature pollen grain

MMc=Microspore mother cells

Apical buds on SmG shoots showed evidence of FBI on 2 April with all of the apical buds sampled being floral by 15 Apr. 2006 (Table 1). Flower bud initiation thus occurred in both types of shoots after growth cessation, as has been found in other types of blueberry (Bañados and Strik, 2006).

Yield on the studied plants averaged 11,500 kg ha⁻¹, a good commercial yield for this region and cultivar. Fruit harvest occurred from 17 October to 24 November, 2006. We observed that the fruit from the buds on SpG were ripe during the early part of the fruiting season, whereas the fruit from SmG shoots matured later in the season.

Under controlled conditions, FBI in highbush, lowbush, and rabbiteye blueberries was stimulated by SD photoperiod (8 h) for 4 or more weeks with an average temperature of 21 °C. FBI and




Fig. 2. Location of spring (SpG) and summer (SpG) shoots sampled from cv. O'Neal plants

further differentiation was reduced with increasing photoperiod, with no development at a 16 h daylength (Bañados and Strik, 2006; Hall *et al.*, 1963; 1970; Spann *et al.*, 2003; 2004). Spann *et al.* (2003) found no FBI occurred in southern highbush blueberry plants exposed to 16-h photoperiods. Some authors have suggested that 5 to 6 weeks of shortening daylength are needed to induce FBI in highbush and rabbiteye blueberry (Darnell, 1991; Hall and Ludwig, 1961; Hall *et al.*, 1963). However, although conditions of short daylength were present in our study in April when FBI occurred in SmG shoots (12 h photoperiod and 18 °C average temperature), these conditions were not present in December when FBI occurred on SpG shoots.

In December, the photoperiod increased slightly from 15.2 to




Fig. 3. Immature anther at stage: A. tetrahedral tetrads (tt) and B. free microspore (fm) in the basal floret of the apical bud on spring growth of cv. O'Neal, 9 June, 2006. (40x)

15.3 h, with a monthly average temperature of 22.5 °C. The daylength began to shorten after 22 Dec. 2005 (INTA San Pedro, Meteorological Information Center). Our results thus show that FBI in field-grown southern highbush blueberry can also occur under long-day conditions. In our study, FBI began when photoperiod was increasing and finished when plants had been exposed to two weeks of shortening daylength. Aalders and Hall (1964), while comparing flower bud development in lowbush blueberry plants grown in the greenhouse under controlled temperature and photoperiod to plants grown under field conditions, observed that under a constant 16 h photoperiod, plants did not develop flower buds. However, flower bud development did occur in the field, where plants were exposed to a 16 or 15-h photoperiod during June and July, a period when FBI usually occurs under field conditions, thus agreeing with our observations in O'Neal southern highbush blueberry.

We speculate that FBI on SpG shoots could be stimulated by carbohydrate status in the plant or a combination of factors such as carbohydrates, temperature, and light exposure, rather than photoperiod. In most fruit trees, plant carbohydrate status is associated with flower bud formation. DeJong and Day (1991) found a positive correlation between the dry matter content per unit leaf area and the number of flower buds in peach. In apple, as in blueberry, FBI occurs after cessation of shoot growth, and excessive shoot growth reduces or prevents FBI (Buban and Faust, 1982). In rabbiteye and southern highbush blueberry, there was a positive correlation between leaf number and flower bud number (Lyrene, 1991; Williamson and Miller, 2000). Furthermore, a decrease in carbohydrate concentration was associated with a decrease in FBI in southern highbush blueberry grown under controlled conditions of high temperature (28 °C) and SD photoperiod (Spann *et al.*, 2004). Studies done in growth chambers or greenhouses, using potted plants, often involve non-fruiting or young plants with little yield. Under field conditions, using mature, fruiting plants, FBI is likely affected by different source-sink relationships than existing in young, potted plants. In our study, by the time FBI occurred on SpG shoots, they had stopped growing and fruit harvest had finished, thus eliminating or reducing the vegetative (shoot growth) and fruit sinks. Air temperature during the FBI period of SmG shoots was within the favorable range found in controlled studies (Spann *et al.*, 2004).

In northern Argentinean regions, and in some regions of Australia, Mexico and southern California (USA), a second flowering period is often observed on southern highbush cultivars in summer (Strik, personal observation). In Tucumán (26° lat S; 65° long O), northern Argentina, O'Neal plants produce a small second harvest at the end of summer—beginning of fall (Diguez, personal communication), likely from flower buds on SpG shoots that bloom in the same season. However when the same cultivar is grown in the Buenos Aires region, the flower buds induced in December on SpG shoots do not bloom in the same season and there is no second harvest. In Tucumán, the average monthly temperature increased from 12 °C in July to 25 °C in January with 1969 heat units (10 year average, base 10 °C; INTA San Pedro, Meteorological Information Center), with a frost-free period from October to May. However in Buenos Aires, the average monthly temperature increases from 10 °C in July to 23 °C in January, but the

accumulated heat units are lower (1594) and the frost-free period is shorter, from November to March. Hall *et al.* (1970) found a positive relationship between flower bud size and temperature, associating bud size with the level of flower bud differentiation. In Tucumán, the higher temperature and accumulation of heat units than in Buenos Aires, likely advance flower bud differentiation and promote a second bloom period in this region.

Differences in the rate of flower bud development were observed between flower buds on SpG and SmG shoots in our study. Flower buds on SpG were consistently at a more advanced developmental stage than on SmG on all sampling dates (Table 2). The following season, flowering began on 28 June 2006. On 9 June, reproductive cells in the anthers of SpG flower buds were more advanced in development than those of SmG flower buds. The basipetal florets on SpG flower buds, had tetrahedral tetrads visible (Fig. 3A) and free microspores (Fig. 3B) were observed, while the anthers of SmG flower buds were at an earlier stage, microspore mother cells before meiotic division (Fig. 4). In lowbush blueberry, flower buds required four to five weeks to develop from the microspore mother cells stage to tetrads of uninucleate pollen grains (Bell and Burchill, 1952). Huang *et al.* (1997) observed that southern highbush blueberry cv. Sharpblue grown in Louisiana (USA), took about 15 d from microspore mother cells to tetrads of uninucleate pollen grains. Based on these results, it can be assumed that in our study by 9 June, there were maturity differences of at least 15 d between the basal florets of flower buds on SpG and SmG shoots.

We observed that FBI occurred more than 3 months later on SmG shoots than on SpG shoots. On SpG shoots, the flower bud differentiation period was from the end of December to bloom in July, under temperature conditions for rapid flower bud development. According to Hall *et al.* (1970), the number of primordial meristems and the degree of floret primordial development is enhanced by warmer temperatures. Spann *et al.* (2004) found that more flower buds were developed at 21 °C than at 28 °C when 'Misty' was exposed to either 4 or 8 weeks at an 8 h photoperiod in a greenhouse.

The higher average temperature during the period of flower bud development on SpG shoots (Table 1) may have lead to better flower development and fruit set than found on SmG shoots, perhaps a result of greater photosynthesis or carbohydrate




Fig. 4. Immature anther in a stage of microspore mother cells (mmc) before meiosis showing callosa residues in anther wall well-differentiated, in basal floret of an apical bud on summer growth in cv. O'Neal (9 June) (20x)

status. In addition, the occurrence of two periods of FBI and the consequent differences in time of flower bud development likely prolonged the fruit harvest season. Two peaks in volume of fruit harvested were observed (Pescie and Lovisolo, 2005), likely resulting from differences in the ontogeny of buds on SpG and SmG shoots. When O'Neal were summer pruned in Chile, tipping SpG shoots increased SmG shoots and caused a higher second harvest peak in the following season (Bañados *et al.*, 2009).

In summary, FBI in the southern highbush blueberry cv. O'Neal, grown in temperate to warm-temperate conditions, occurred twice during the growing season under different photoperiods, long for SpG shoots and short for SmG shoots. The rate of flower bud development was greater for SpG than for SmG shoots. Studies are underway to clearly establish the relationship between shoot type, rate of flower bud development and yield and time of fruit harvest the following season and the impacts of cultural practices on these relationships.

References

- Aalders, L.E. and I.V. Hall, 1964. A comparison of flower-bud development in the lowbush blueberry, *Vaccinium angustifolium* Ait. under greenhouse and field conditions. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.*, 85: 281-284.
- Bañados, M.P. and B. Strik, 2006. Manipulation of the annual growth cycle of blueberry using photoperiod. *Acta Hort.*, 715: 65-72.
- Bañados, P., D. Donnay and P. Uribe, 2007. *Poda en verde en arándano* [Summer pruning in blueberry]. *Agronomía y Forestal*, 31: 16-19.
- Bañados, P., D. Donnay and P. Uribe, 2009. The effect of summer pruning date in 'Star', 'O'Neal' and 'Elliott'. *Acta Hort.*, 810: 501-507.
- Bell, H.P. and J. Burchill, 1952. Flower development in the lowbush Blueberry. *Can. J. Bot.*, 33: 251-258.
- Buban, T. and M. Faust, 1982. Flower bud induction in apple trees: internal control and differentiation. *Hort. Rev.*, 4: 174-203.
- CAPAB, Cámara Argentina de Productores de Arándano y otras Berries. [Argentinian Association of Blueberry Producers] 2009. Personal communication.
- D'Ambrogio, A. 1986. *Manual de Técnicas en Histología Vegetal*. [Technical Handbook on Histology in Plants Vegetal techniques Handbook] Ed. Hemisferio Sur. Buenos Aires.
- Darnell, R.L. 1991. Photoperiod, carbon partitioning, and reproductive development in rabbiteye blueberry. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.*, 116: 856-860.
- Darnell, R.L. and F.S. Davies, 1990. Chilling accumulation, budbreak, and fruit set of young rabbiteye blueberry plants. *HortScience*, 25: 635-638.
- DeJong, T.M. and K.R. Day, 1991. Relationship between shoot productivity and leaf characteristics in peach canopies. *HortScience*, 26: 1271-1273.
- Gough, R.E., V.G. Shutak and R.L. Hauke, 1978. Growth and development of highbush blueberry. II. Reproductive growth, histological studies. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.*, 103: 476-479.
- Hall, I.V. 1958. Some effects of light on native lowbush blueberries. *Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.*, 72: 216-218.
- Hall, I.V. and R.A. Ludwig, 1961. The effects of photoperiod, temperature, and light intensity on the growth of the lowbush blueberry (*Vaccinium angustifolium* Ait.). *Can. J. Bot.*, 39: 1733-1739.
- Hall, I.V., D.L. Craig and L.E. Aalders, 1963. The effect of photoperiod on the growth and flowering of the highbush blueberry (*Vaccinium corymbosum* L.). *Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.*, 82: 260-263.
- Hall, I.V. and L.E. Aalders, 1968. Fruit set and berry development of lowbush blueberry as affected by temperature. *Can. J. Plant Sci.*, 48: 321-322.
- Hall, I.V., F.R. Forsyth and R.J. Newbery, 1970. Effect of temperature on flower bud and leaf anthocyanin formation in the Lowbush Blueberry. *HortScience*, 5: 272-273.
- Huang, Y.H., C.E. Johnson and M.D. Sundberg, 1997. Floral morphology and development of 'Sharpblue' southern highbush blueberry in Louisiana. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.*, 122: 630-633.
- INTA (Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria), Estación Experimental San Pedro, (Nacional Institute of Agricultural Technology, San Pedro), 2010, (<http://www.inta.gov.ar/sanpedro/info/meteor.htm>).
- Knight, Jr. R.J. and D.H. Scott, 1964. Effects of temperatures on self and cross-pollination and fruiting of four highbush blueberry varieties. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.*, 85: 302-306.
- Lang, G.A. 1993. Southern highbush blueberries: physiological and cultural factors important for optimal cropping of these complex hybrids. *Acta Hort.*, 346:72-80.
- Lyrene, P.M. 1991. Early defoliation reduces flower bud counts on rabbiteye blueberry. *HortScience*, 27: 783-785.
- Maust, B.E., J.G. Williamson and R.L. Darnell, 1999. Flower bud density affects vegetative and fruit development in field-growth southern highbush blueberry. *HortScience*, 34: 607-610.
- Pescie, M.A. and M. Lovisolo, 2005. Comportamiento en la floración y fructificación de Arándano (*Vaccinium corymbosum*) en las variedades O'Neal, Misty y Sharpblue en la Prov. de Buenos Aires. [Floral and fruit performance in cvs O'Neal, Misty and Sharpblue southern highbush blueberry (*Vaccinium corymbosum*) in Buenos Aires Province] 1º Congreso Latinoam. Arándano y Otras Berries, 2005, Ed. FAUBA, Buenos Aires. (Abstr. P6).
- Pescie, M.A. and C. Lopez, 2007. Distribución Temporal de la Cosecha en Arándano Alto del Sur (*Vaccinium corymbosum*) Var. O'Neal. [Annual harvest distribution of O'Neal Southern Highbush Blueberry (*Vaccinium corymbosum*)]. 30º Congreso Argentino de Horticultura. 2007. Ed. ASAH. (Abstr. P238).
- Spann, T.M., J.G. Williamson and R.L. Darnell, 2003. Photoperiodic effects on vegetative and reproductive growth of *Vaccinium darrowi* and *V. corymbosum* interspecific hybrids. *HortScience*, 38: 192-195.
- Spann, T.M., J. Williamson and R. Darnell, 2004. Photoperiod and temperature, effects on growth and carbohydrate storage in southern highbush blueberry interespecific hybrids. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.*, 129: 294-298.
- Williamson, J.G. and E.P. Miller, 2000. Early fall defoliation of southern highbush blueberry inhibits flower bud initiation and retards flower bud development. *HortScience*, 35: (Abstr. P505).
- Yáñez, P., J.B. Retamales, G.A. Lobos and A. del Pozo, 2009. Light environment within mature rabbiteye blueberry canopies influences flower bud formation. *Acta Hort.*, 810: 471-473.

Received: December, 2010; Revised: December, 2010; Accepted: January, 2011